UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,218	05/07/2002	Marcus Filshie	02597	2627
987 SALTER & MI	7590 10/14/201 CHAELSON	EXAMINER		
THE HERITAC			EREZO, DARWIN P	
321 SOUTH MAIN STREET PROVIDENCE, RI 029037128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ssullivan@saltermichaelson.com pblackwell@saltermichaelson.com gkelly@saltermichaelson.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/031,218	FILSHIE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Darwin P. Erezo	3773
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free to, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration. re rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	Δ\	DW (PTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/031,218 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/25/10 has been entered.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The limitation of "said reversed segment being substantially shorter in length then the length of said elongated substantially straight piece" appears to have support in the drawings but does not have antecedent basis in the written description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-12,14-17,26,27,31,32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,218 Page 3

Art Unit: 3773

New independent claim 34 recites the following limitation: "said single arcuate shaped section formed as a single curvature but with different contiguous radii of curvature". However, this configuration is vague and indefinite because the latter portion of the limitation (the different contiguous radii of curvature) contradicts the earlier limitation of "a single curvature". A single curvature implies that the upper jaw only has one curve. Having multiple contiguous radii of curvature teaches away from a single curvature.

Claim 34 also recites the following limitation: "said <u>single</u> arcuate shaped section including a <u>first</u> arcuate shaped portion contiguous with said straight section and a <u>second</u> arcuate shaped portion contiguous with said first arcuate shaped portion disposed at an opposite end of said first arcuate shaped portion to said straight section". Again, the limitation contradicts itself because it recites the clip having a "single" arcuate shaped portion, but then further defines it having a "first" and "second" arcuate shaped portions. The latter limitation clearly indicates that the clip does not have a "single" arcuate shaped portion. This limitation renders the claim vague and indefinite.

The remaining claims are also rejected under 112, second paragraph for being dependent upon the rejected independent claim.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-12,14-17,26,27,31,32 and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,218 Page 4

Art Unit: 3773

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571)272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773